

RECORD OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION

Project ID No.: Solicitation of the St. James Terminal and BC 36" Pipeline

Title: Solicitation of the St. James Terminal and BC 36" Pipeline

Description: The facility is located approximately 45 miles west of New Orleans, 30 miles southeast of Baton Rouge and approximately 160 miles upstream from the mouth of the Mississippi River. For crude oil distribution, the St. James facility is comprised of two marine docks on the Mississippi River occupying approximately 48 acres of land, a tank terminal (six storage tanks have a total shell capacity of 2 million barrels) occupying approximately 105 acres of land, five tie-ins that lead to other facilities plus the environmental and safety systems that serve the entire St. James facility.

The facility also includes a 36-inch diameter pipeline known as the "Bayou Choctaw to St. James" Bayou Choctaw 36 Crude Oil Pipeline" and appurtenances thereto. For Crude Oil Common Carrier Operations, the pipeline is 37.2 miles long. It connects the DOE Bayou Choctaw site in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, to the St. James Tank Terminal and Marine Docks. Included with the pipeline is the right of ingress and egress and the right, in common with others, to the use of all supporting rights-of-way servicing the pipeline to the extent necessary to enable the LESSEE to use same for the purposes of this Lease.

Regulatory Requirements National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Implementing Procedures (10 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 1021)

10 CFR 1021.410 (Application of Categorical Exclusions)

(a) The actions listed in Appendices A and B of Subpart D are classes of actions that Department of Energy (DOE) has determined do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment (categorical exclusions).

(b) To find that a proposal is categorically excluded, DOE shall determine the following:

- (1) The proposed action fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B of Subpart D;
- (2) There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) The proposal is not "connected" (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) to other actions with potentially significant impact, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.

Appendix B (Categorical Exclusions Applicable to Specific Agency Actions)

The proposed action must not:

1. Threaten a violation of statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, including requirements of DOE and/or Executive Orders;
2. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities;
3. Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that would be uncontrolled or un-permitted releases;
4. Have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, which includes (i) property (sites, buildings, structures, and objects) of historical, archeological, or architectural significance; (ii) federally-listed and state-listed threatened or endangered species or their habitat, federally-protected marine mammals and essential fish habitat and otherwise federally-protected species; (iii) floodplains and wetlands; (iv) federally and state designated areas (wilderness areas, national parks, national monuments, national natural landmarks, wild and scenic rivers, wildlife refuges, scenic areas, and marine sanctuaries); (v) prime or unique farmland; (vi) special sources of water (sole-source aquifers, wellhead protection areas, and other vital water resources); and (vii) tundra, coral reefs, or rain forests); or
5. Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species.

RECORD OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION

NEPA Preparer: Matthew Dubuc

Creation Date: 08/28/2018

Environmental Analysis: (mark all environmental aspects that are applicable to this project)

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Air Emissions | | Environmental Monitoring | | Fire | |
| Green Procurement | | Project Design | | Waste | |
| Spills/Releases | | Cavern Integrity | | Discharges | |
| Energy Use | | Natural Resource Conservation | | Water Use | |
| Transportation | | Chemical Use/Selection | | Public Involvement | |
| Sustainable Building | | Electronic Stewardship | | Wetlands Permitting | |
| Clean Water | | Pollution Prevention | | | |

Categorical Exclusion (CX) Determination

NEPA review suggests this project is a Categorical Exclusion. This suggestion is based on review of the project description. If there are changes in the scope of this project additional NEPA review may be required.

CX to be applied B1.24

Transfer, lease, disposition, or acquisition of interests in personal property (including, but not limited to, equipment and materials) or real property (including, but not limited to, permanent structures and land), provided that under reasonably foreseeable uses (1) there would be no potential for release of substances at a level, or in a form, that could pose a threat to public health or the environment and (2) the covered actions would not have the potential to cause a significant change in impacts from before the transfer, lease, disposition, or acquisition of interests.

NEPA Approval

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1) I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified actions, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the action is hereby excluded from further NEPA review.

Approved By

DOE NEPA Compliance Officer

Stephen Reese

NEPA Review Summary

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Created By: | Auger, Jennifer |
| Date NEPA Review Initiated: | 8/29/2018 |
| Type of NEPA Review: | Type B - DOE Only |
| Project ID Number: | NA |
| Title: | Solicitation of the St. James Terminal and BC 36 Pipeline |
| Workflow Status: | Approved |

If Workflow Status is Rejected, see below for Reason:

Concurrence Details:

Sevcik, Bob 9/4/2018
FFPO Environmental Concurrence *Compliance Date*
(not required for Type A-DOE Only Record of NEPA Review or Type B-DOE Only Record of Categorical Exclusion Determination)

Crosby, Thomas 9/4/2018
SPR Derivative Classifier *Compliance Date*
(only required for Type B)

Catyb, Joseph 9/24/2018
DOE ES&H Director (or Acting) *Compliance Date*
(only required for Type B & Type A-DOE Only Record of NEPA Review)

Reese, Stephen 9/25/2018
DOE NEPA Compliance Officer (or Acting) *Compliance Date*

ALL SIGNATURES WERE CAPTURED VIA THE ELECTRONIC WORKFLOW SYSTEM.

Click on the following Link to view the associated NEPA Document:

https://myspr.spr.doe.gov/sites/sprworkflow/nepa/NEPA_Uploaded_Documents/Categorical_Exclusion_Solicitation_of_the_St._James_T